j	
1	23. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 26,
2	except admit that Pacific Bell Extra's advertisements state that
3	so long as a customer meets minimum criteria the awards program
4	provides credit for bill charges regardless of the charging
5	service provider. Defendants further admit that Exhibits 2 and 3
6	referred to in paragraph 26 are reduced copies of a direct mail
7	flyer and advertisements as alleged in paragraph 26.
8	
9	24. Defendants deny the allegations in the first
10	sentence of paragraph 27. Defendants admit the allegations in
11	the second through fourth sentences of paragraph 27.
12	
13	25. Defendants admit on information and belief the
14	allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 28. Defendants
15	deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 28.
16	
17	26. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 29.
18	
19	27. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 30.
20	
21	28. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 31,
22	but denies that the release alleged therein "occupies but a small
23	portion of the total space of the print ad."
24	
25	29. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 32.
26	
27	30. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 33,
28	except admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose
	Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint
- 1	0136875.01

certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary information as defined therein. Defendants further admit that the plaintiff has not authorized use of proprietary information, as defined in the billing agreements, except as provided for and required by the billing agreements. 6 7 31. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 34, except admit that the plaintiff has not consented to use of

proprietary information, as defined in the billing agreements,

except as provided for and required by the billing agreements.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 35.

Defendants are without knowledge or information 33. sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 36, and on that basis, denies those allegations, except Defendants admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary information as defined therein.

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 37, 34. except Defendants deny that Pacific Bell's charges to its customers are made possible by the billing agreements alleged therein.

> Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint

1	35. Defendants are without knowledge or information
2	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in
3	paragraph 38, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except
4	Defendants admit on information and belief that the plaintiff has
5	invested resources in the creation of its billing system.
6	
7	36. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 39
8	and 40.
9	
10	37. Defendants are without knowledge or information
11	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in
12	paragraph 41, and on that basis, deny those allegations.
13	
14	38. Defendants admit the allegations in the first
15	sentence of paragraph 42. Defendants are without knowledge or
16	information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
17	allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 42, and on that
18	basis, deny those allegations.
19	
20	39. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 43.
21	
22	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
23	

- Answering paragraph 44, Defendants reallege their answers to paragraphs 1-43.
 - Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 45. 41.

24

25

26

27

14

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

sentence of paragraph 49. Defendants deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 49, except admit that the information received by Pacific Bell from the plaintiff is subject to use restrictions as enunciated in the billing agreements and is proprietary to the extent provided in the billing agreements and applicable law.

23

24

22

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 50. 46.

25

26

Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 51. 47.

27

- 1	
1	48. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 52
2	and 53, and deny that the plaintiff was injured or damaged in an
3	sum, or at all.
4	
5	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6	
7	49. Answering paragraph 54, Defendants reallege their
8	answers to paragraphs 1-53.
9	
10	50. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 55
11	and 56.
12	
13	51. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 57
14	through 59, and deny that the plaintiff and the public was
15	injured or damaged in any sum, or at all.
16	
17	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
18	
19	52. Answering paragraph 60, Defendants reallege their
20	answers to paragraphs 1-59.
21	
22	53. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 61
23	through 64.
24	
25	54. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 65
26	and 66, and deny that the plaintiff and the public was injured or
27	damaged in any sum, or at all.
28	

′

.

55. Answering paragraph 67, Defendants reallege their answers to paragraphs 1-66.

56. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 68, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

57. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 69, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit that the information received by Pacific Bell from the plaintiff is subject to use restrictions as enunciated in the billing agreements.

58. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 70, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

59. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 71, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit that the information received by Pacific Bell from the plaintiff is subject to use restrictions as enunciated in the billing agreements.

Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint

1	60. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 72
2	and 73.
3	
4	61. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 74
5	and 75, and deny that the plaintiff was injured or damaged in any
6	sum, or at all.
7	
8	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
9	
10	62. Answering paragraph 76, Defendants reallege their
11	answers to paragraphs 1-75.
12	
13	63. Defendants are without knowledge or information
14	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in
15	paragraph 77, and on that basis, denies those allegations.
16	·
17	64. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 78.
18	
19	65. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 79
20	and 80, and deny that the plaintiff was injured or damaged in any
21	sum, or at all.
22	
23	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
24	
25	66. Answering paragraph 81, Defendants reallege their
26	answers to paragraphs 1-80.
27	
28	67. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 82.
	Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint

1	
1	68. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 83
2	and 84.
3	
4	69. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 85,
5	and deny that the plaintiff was injured or damaged in any sum, or
6	at all.
7	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
8	
9	70. Answering paragraph 86, Defendants reallege their
10	answers to paragraphs 1-85.
11	
12	71. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 87.
13	
14	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT PACIFIC
16	1. As a First Affirmative Defense to each claim in
17	1. As a First Affirmative Defense to each claim in the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that the Complaint
18	fails to state any claim upon which relief can be granted.
19	and the granted.
20	2. As a Second Affirmative Defense to each claim in
21	the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that each of them
22	fulfilled any and all obligations imposed upon them by 47 U.S.C.
23	Section 222 and all related Sections.
24	
25	3. As a Third Affirmative Defense to each claim in the
26	plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that each of them
27	performed all obligations on their part to be performed except
28	those obligations they were excused from performing, and that by
	Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint
	0136875.01

reason thereof, the plaintiff is barred from any recovery from Defendants. 3 As a Fourth Affirmative Defense to each claim in 4 the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that they did not 5 improperly disclose proprietary information received or obtained from or belonging to the plaintiff. 8 9 As a Fifth Affirmative Defense to the Fifth and 5. Sixth claims in the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that no privity of contract exists between the plaintiff and 11 Defendants Pacific Telesis Group, Pacific Bell Extras or Pacific 12 Bell Communications. 13 14 As a Fifth Affirmative Defense to the Third, Fourth 15 and Seventh claims in the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that the claims are barred by the doctrine of federal 18 preemption. 19 As a Fifth Affirmative Defense to the First claim 20 7. in the plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants allege that the 21 plaintiff is without standing to sue these Defendants. 22 23 24 WHEREFORE, Defendants prays for judgment as follows: 25 Plaintiff Sprint be awarded nothing in the above captioned action, and the action be dismissed; 26 27 Judgment be entered in favor of Defendants; (b)

Defendants be awarded costs of this suit; and

Joint Answer of Pacific to Sprint Complaint

(c)

1	(d) Such other relief as the Court deems appropriate
2	
3	DATED: May 28, 1996
4	PACIFIC TELESIS LEGAL GROUP
5	BOBBY C. LAWYER WALID S. ABDUL-RAHIM
6	62/11/11/11
7	By: WALID ABOUL-RAHIM
8	
9	Attorneys for Defendants PACIFIC BELL, PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, PACIFIC BELL EXTRAS and
10	PACIFIC BELL COMMUNICATIONS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27	

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Re: Sprint Communications, et al. v. Pacific Bell, et al. U.S.D.C. - N.D. Court Action No. C 96-1692 SBA

I, JENNIFER S. NEWMAN, declare that:

I am over the age of eighteen years, not a party to the within action, and employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California. My business address is Pacific Telesis Legal Group, 140 New Montgomery Street, Room 1021, San Francisco, California 94105.

I am readily familiar with our practice for collection and processing of correspondence and documents for mailing. Under that practice, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence and documents are deposited, postage fully prepaid, with the United States Postal Service on the same day they are collected and processed.

On the date specified below, I served the foregoing JOINT ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS PACIFIC BELL, PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, PACIFIC BELL EXTRAS AND PACIFIC BELL COMMUNICATIONS TO COMPLAINT OF SPRINT on the person(s) listed below by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California, in accordance with our ordinary practices, addressed as follows:

McCUTCHEN, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN, LLP TERRY J. HOULIHAN REBECCA A LENABURG STEPHANIE SIMONDS LAMARRE HARVEY J. ANDERSON LAURA MAZZARELLA Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4066

252627

28

17.

LEBOEUF, LAMB, GREENE & MACRAE, L.L.P. R. SCOTT PUDDY THOMAS E. McDONALD One Embarcadero Center, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 GEORGE S. DUESDIEKER DARREN S. WEINGARD SPRINT LAW DEPARTMENT 1850 Gateway Drive, 4th Floor San Mateo, CA 94404-2467 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. DATED: May 28, 1996

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

FILED

MAY 2 8 1996

CICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT COURT CONTROL OF CAUFORMA OAKLAND

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ATT COMMUNICATIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC. and MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

C96- 1691 SBA (MEJ)

VS.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' EXPEDITED MOTION FOR DISCOVERY.

PACIFIC BELL, ET. AL.

Defendant.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.

Plaintiffs.

VS.

Related Case C96- 1692 SBA (MEJ)

PACIFIC BELL, ET. AL.

Defendant.

16 17

18

_19

21 22

2324

25 26

2728

On May 21, 1996, Plaintiffs in the above entitled actions filed an Ex Parte Application for Order Permitting Expedited Discovery And Modification of Briefing Schedule. On May 24, 1996, the Honorable Sandra Brown Armstrong granted in part Plaintiff's ex parte motion, and ordered that (1) Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction be filed by June 4, 1996; (2) all other dates set by the Court on May 14, 1996, remained in effect; and (3) referred Plaintiffs' request for expedited discovery to Magistrate Judge James.

Plaintiffs' request that the Court allow Plaintiff to conduct ten (10) depositions, each consisting of one-half day and order subpoenas for limited production of relevant, requested documents in the deponents possession, to be produced not less than 24-hours prior to each deposition.

Having considered the moving papers submitted by Plaintiff, Defendants opposition papers, statutory and case law authority, the Court finds that Plaintiffs' need to properly prepare the application for the preliminary injunction by the June 4, 1996 deadline, does not meet the "urgent need" requirement, as set forth by <u>Stanley v. University of Southern California</u>, 13 F.3d 1313, 1326 (9th Cir. 1994).

Furthermore, the urgency asserted by Plaintiffs is the result of Plaintiffs' own action. The Court notes that the preliminary injunction hearing is scheduled to be held on July 2, 1996. This date was assigned in accordance with Civil L. R. 7-2, which requires that a motion is calandared 35 days after service of the motion. Plaintiffs' filed the motion for a temporary restraining order on May 7, 1996, when they filed the complaint. In its order of May 14, 1996, the District Court calandared the application for preliminary injunction accordingly.

Moreover, Plaintiffs' moving papers fail to explain to this Court how the discovery directly pertains to the issues relevant to the preliminary injuction.

Finally, the Court finds that in the balancing of equities, the discovery requested by Plaintiffs is much too broad, too vague, and unduly burdensome on Defendant at this juncture of the litigation with no hardships weighing in Plaintiffs' favor.

For the reasons stated above, the Court HEREBY DENIES Plaintiffs' request for expedited discovery.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 28, 1996

MARIA-ELENA JAMES

United States Magistrate Judge

COPY

1 PACIFIC TELESIS LEGAL GROUP BOBBY C. LAWYER (115017) CHEMIN 2 WALID S. ABDUL-RAHIM (141940) FILLS 140 New Montgomery Street, 10th Floor 3 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 542-2182 and 542-2551 || Facsimile: (415) 882-4458 RICHAPD W. MYEKING CHERN DE DISTRUCTURE KINTURLAG OF TO RESIDE FEET FORM CHALLAG Attorneys for Defendants PACIFIC BELL, PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, PACIFIC BELL EXTRAS and PACIFIC BELL COMMUNICATIONS 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - OAKLAND DIVISION 10 11 AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF CASE NO. C 96-1691 SBA CALIFORNIA, INC., a California) corporation, and MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS JOINT ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS 13 CORPORATION, a Delaware PACIFIC BELL, PACIFIC TELESIS corporation, GROUP, PACIFIC BELL EXTRAS AND 14 PACIFIC BELL COMMUNICATIONS TO Plaintiffs. COMPLAINT OF AT&T AND MCI 15 VS. (Related Action: C-96-1692 SBA) 16 PACIFIC BELL, a California corporation; PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP, a Nevada corporation: 18 PACIFIC BELL EXTRAS, a California corporation; and PACIFIC BELL COMMUNICATIONS, a) California corporation, 20 Defendants. 21 22 Defendants Pacific Bell, Pacific Telesis Group, Pacific 23 Bell Extras and Pacific Bell Communications answer the complaint, 24 filed May 10, 1996, by AT&T Communications of California, Inc. 25 ("AT&T") and MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI"), as 26 follows: 27

1.

Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint

0136619.01

 Defendants admit the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 4.

* * * *

- 2. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 5, and on that basis, deny those allegations. Defendants admit the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 5.
 - 3. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 6.
- 4. Defendants admit the allegations in the first and third sentences of paragraph 7. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 7, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit that Pacific Bell is a Bell Operating Company and telecommunications carrier, is a local exchange carrier, and is authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission, and provides telecommunications services within its service areas in the State of California.
- 5. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 8, except Defendants deny that Pacific Bell Extras is engaged in the business of marketing, promoting, and administering promotional
 - Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint

awards based on the services of Pacific Telesis Group or Pacific Bell Communications. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 9. Defendants admit the allegations in the first 9 sentence of paragraph 10. Defendants deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 10, except admit that the Plaintiffs' service to their customers includes processing 11 subsequent to their customers' use of telecommunications Defendants admit the allegations in the third sentence services. 13 of paragraph 10, except deny that the plaintiffs calculate "all appropriate charges" according to each customer's service plans. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

4

5

6

7

8

Defendants are without knowledge or information 8. sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 11, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit on information and belief that the plaintiffs have invested resources in the creation of its billing system.

22

23

Defendants are without knowledge or information 9. sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 12, and on that basis, deny those allegations.

26

27

10.

7

8

9 10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

charges, if any, of the plaintiffs. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 14. 11. 12. Defendants deny the allegations in the first

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 13,

sentence of paragraph 15, except admit that Defendants perform certain billing and collection functions per the billing agreement alleged therein. Defendants admit the allegations in the second sentence. Defendants deny the allegations in the third and fourth sentences, except admit that Pacific Bell collects AT&T's charges to its customers as a single balance due to Pacific Bell.

Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 16, except admit that Pacific Bell charges AT&T on a monthly basis for the services provided under the billing agreements. Defendants further allege that if the combined monthly billing amounts do not meet a specified annual minimum amount, Pacific Bell charges AT&T the difference between the combined monthly amounts and the specified annual minimum.

> 4. Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint

15. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 18, except deny the allegations in the sixth sentence of paragraph 18.

16. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 19, except admit that the information transmitted from AT&T to Pacific Bell is AT&T's confidential and proprietary information to the extent provided in the billing agreements and applicable law. Defendants further allege that the information referred to in the second sentence of paragraph 19 is the proprietary information of the customer.

17. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 20, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit that the billing agreement alleged therein contains provisions governing the treatment of information transmitted from AT&T to Pacific Bell, and further admit on information and belief that AT&T designates some portion of such information as "proprietary."

5

1

2

3

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 22, except Defendants deny that Pacific Bell is "prohibited from disclosing AT&T's proprietary information to third parties" without limitation, and further allege that the billing agreements allow for the disclosure of such information under certain circumstances.

11

12

13

15

16

17

Defendants deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 23, except admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary information as defined therein. Defendants admit the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 23.

18

19

20

23

24

Defendants admit the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 24. Defendants deny the allegations in the second sentence of the paragraph 24, except admit that the 21 | billing agreement with MCI provides for the transmittal of MCI's proprietary information to Pacific Bell, and further admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary 26 l information as defined therein.

6.

22. Paragraph 25 does not require a response.

--

promoting a rewards incentive program." Defendants admit the

sentence of paragraph 26, except admit that Pacific Bell and

Pacific Bell Extras have "conceived, designed, and begun

Defendants deny the allegations in the first

allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 26, except deny

that Pacific Bell administers the program alleged therein, and further admit that Pacific Bell Extras administers the program

alleged therein. Defendants admit the allegations in the third

sentence of paragraph 26.

23.

24. Defendants deny the allegations in the first three sentences of paragraph 27, except Defendants admit that Pacific Bell and Pacific Bell Extras "sought to induce customers to enroll in its program through an extensive advertising and promotional campaign including television ads, in both English and Spanish, and direct mail flyers." Defendants further admit that Pacific Bell Extras "has run print ads throughout California including here in the San Francisco Bay Area in the Chronicle newspaper." Defendants further admit that Pacific Bell, on behalf of Pacific Bell Extras, has sent direct mail flyers to Pacific Bell's customers, some of whom may also be the plaintiffs' customers. Defendants admit the allegations in the fourth sentence of paragraph 27.

7. Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint

25. Defendants admit on information and belief the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 28. Defendants deny the allegations in the second and third sentences of paragraph 28.

26. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 29, except admit that Pacific Bell Extra's advertisements state that so long as a customer meets minimum criteria the awards program provides credit for bill charges regardless of the charging service provider. Defendants further admit that Exhibits 2 and 3 referred to in paragraph 29 are reduced copies of a direct mail flyer and advertisements as alleged in paragraph 29.

27. Defendants deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 30. Defendants admit the allegations in the second through fourth sentences of paragraph 30.

28. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 31.

29. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 32, except admit that a customer's signature is a requirement for enrollment in the awards program.

30. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 33, but denies that the release alleged therein "occupies but a small portion of the total space of the print ad."

Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 34. 31. except admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary information as defined therein. Defendants further admit that the plaintiffs have not authorized use of proprietary information, as defined in the billing agreements, 7 except as provided for and required by the billing agreements. 8 9 32. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 35, except admit that the plaintiffs have not consented to use of 10 proprietary information, as defined in the billing agreements, 11 except as provided for and required by the billing agreements. 13 33. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 36. 14 15

17

18

21

22

23

16

1

3

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 37, and on that basis, denies those allegations, except Defendants admit that the billing agreements alleged therein impose certain reciprocal obligations and restrictions regarding the use of proprietary information as defined therein.

24

25

26

Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 38, except Defendants deny that Pacific Bell's charges to its customers are made possible by the billing agreements alleged

9.

therein. Defendants further allege that the "proprietary information" referred to in the second sentence of paragraph 38 is the proprietary information of the customer. 36. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 39, and on that basis, deny those allegations, except Defendants admit on information and belief that the plaintiffs have invested resources in the creation of its billing system. 37. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 40 and 41. 38. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 42, and on that basis, deny those allegations. Defendants admit the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 43. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 43, and on that basis, deny those allegations. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 44. 40.

10. Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint

3

4

5

6

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

41. Answering paragraph 45, Defendants reallege their answers to paragraphs 1-44.

42. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 46.

1 1

43. Defendants deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 47, except admit that under the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Bell Operating Companies and local exchange carriers can create required affiliates to provide long distance services, and Defendants further allege that prior to the 1996 Telecommunications Act Pacific Bell became authorized to provide information services. Defendants admit the allegations in the second and third sentences of paragraph 47.

44. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 48, and on that basis, denies those allegations.

45. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 49.

46. Defendants admit the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 50. Defendants deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 50, except admit that the information received by Pacific Bell from the plaintiffs is subject to use restrictions as enunciated in the billing

11. Joint Answer of Pacific to ATT/MCI Complaint